

<i>Name of Section</i>	<i>No.</i>	<i>Designation</i>	<i>Grade pay Rs.</i>
Colony, Sanitation, meter house, Inspection lodge and Hospital.	2	Cleaners	... 25—1—30
	2	Watchmen and Watermen	... 14— $\frac{1}{2}$ —13
	5	Sweepers and Scavengers	... 14— $\frac{1}{2}$ —18
	3	Gardeners	... 14— $\frac{1}{2}$ —18
	1	Maity	... 25—1—3
Annual maintenance of Ander- sonpet and Robertsonpet Water Supply.	1	Meter Reader	... 40—2—50 EB—3—80
	1	Fitter	... 45—3—60
	2	Helpers	... 30—1—35
	7	Cleaners	... 25—1—30
	1	Watchman	... 14— $\frac{1}{2}$ —18

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the revised scales of pay as per the Government Order 1947 have not been given effect to?

*Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The answer is given. Allocation statement has been sanctioned and we have made the service of employees permanent and pensionable.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—May I know why it is stated in answer to (d) “in a few cases”?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is so mentioned because certain facts like history of service, etc., have not been made available. Therefore some cases are pending and the moment the details are made available, action will be taken.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Since how long it is pending?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is pending from the date of Government Order.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—I do not want to put my question, viz., No. 3387.

Town Improvement Grants and Loans in the State.

Q.—3448. Sri J. VENKATAPPA (Sidlaghatta).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the allotments made towards the Town Improvement Grants during the year 1957-58 (District-war information may be furnished);

(b) the allotment of loans granted in the year 1957-58 to enable the Town Municipalities to take up many of the pending developmental works in their respective Towns (districtwar information may be furnished).

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Government).—

(a) & (b) Vide statement give below.

(SRI T. SUBRAMANYA)

Statement showing the distribution of Grants and Loans to Town Municipalities during 1957—58 (district-wise) for developmental works.

Sl. No.	Name of the District	Grant	Loan
1	2	3	4
		Rs.	Rs.
1	Bangalore	67,000	5,22,322
2	Mysore	50,000	13,71,565
3	Hassan	39,000	5,55,601
4	Mandya	1,09,303	15,32,785
5	Coorg	30,000	50,000
6	Kolar	45,000	6,83,760
7	Tumkur	47,000	5,01,201
8	Shimoga	43,500	10,07,375
9	Chitradurga	59,500	30,500
10	Chikmagalur	34,540	2,69,000
11	South Kanara (only Udipi)..	32,500	9,400
12	Dharwar	46,578	...
13	Bijapur	34,000	...
14	Bellary (only Hospet)	7,000	...
15	Belgaum	24,000	...
16	North Kanara	32,000	...
17	Gulbarga	28,000	...
18	Raichur	24,000	...
19	Bidar	17,000	...

Sri J. VENKATAPPA.—Does the amount allotted to various municipalities include allotments for non-remunerative works also?

*Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Grants are given for non-remunerative works but loans are given for drainage, water supply and other remunerative works.

Sri J. VENKATAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the Saklespur municipality was allotted Rs. 32,000 for the town improvement works which is a non-remunerative work?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Yes, possibly so; but I have no idea.

Sri J. VENKATAPPA.—Is it not true that the policy of the Government is not to encourage spending on non-remunerative work?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Yes, quite right.

Sri J. VENKATAPPA.—Is it a fact that the Sidlaghatta Municipality was asked to reappropriate the amount that

was allotted for the Town Hall for the completion of the bus stand?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Yes.

Sri J. VENKATAPPA.—What is the basis on which grants are allotted to the various municipalities?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—First looking to their need. Secondly, every municipality has to get the minimum. We have distributed them in such a way that each municipality may get the minimum of Rs. 4,000. The rest is to be distributed according to the population within those municipalities.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—By way of a supplementary to the statement, were the loans and grants made prior to or after the integration?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I shall verify it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is the special interest this Government had in order to advance Rs. 1,09,303

as grant and Rs. 15,32,785 as loan to Mandya District?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The Government had no special interest. There was the need for it and so it was given.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Is it not a fact that grants are given only to those municipalities which are commercial centres and whose income is much higher than that of the municipalities which are far below the average?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Grant is given to every municipality.

Sri J. P. SARWESH.—Why have no loans been advanced to Bidar, Raichur, Bijapur, Dharwar, Gulbarga, North Kanara, Bellary, etc.?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Because in the year 1957-58 they did not ask for it.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—How much grant has been given to the Hubli Municipality in 1957-58?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The Hubli Municipality is not mentioned in this list. I will verify and let the Hon'ble Members know.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—From the list it appears that no loan has been given to municipalities belonging to integrating areas. I want to know what are the reasons for that?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—These figures refer to the year 1957-58. Probably the Government did not give the loan either because it was not asked for or because they did not find it necessary.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಗ್ರಾಂಟು ಮತ್ತು ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಲೋನ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಏಕೆ ಅದು ಇಲ್ಲ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದು 1957-58ನೆಯ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಾರ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಕೇಳಿದರೂ ಏಕೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈಗ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Is the Government aware that the roads and other amenities in the Hubli Municipality are very bad?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರು ಕೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ; ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದರು.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—The minimum grant is given to all the

municipalities. What is the minimum grant given to the Hubli Municipality? **ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.**—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅದರ ಹೆಸರಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—May I know whether any applications for loans or grants are pending now?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—There are a number of applications for loans and grants pending.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಸನ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹನ್ನೊಂದು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗಳಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ 39,000ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಗ್ರಾಂಟು ಕಡೆ ಮೇಯಲ್ವೆ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—In Hassan District I have found several municipalities incapable of discharging the loans if granted to them. That is why I have advised every municipality to increase its resources. If they show their capacity to return, we will certainly give them loans.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ವೆಂಕಟರತ್ನ.—ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಕೊಡುವ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ಈಗ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅಂಥ ಅಗತ್ಯವೇನಿತ್ತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ನೋಡಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಸೆಕ್ಯೂರಿಟಿ ಅಥವಾ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಆಯಾ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಡುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಸಾಲಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ಷರತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಹಾಕುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಆ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಡಬೇಕಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಲಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಇರಬಹುದು, ನಾನು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ.

Construction of 'Bandhara' to the Nala in Muddebihal Taluk.

Q.—3824. **Sri P. G. SIDHANT** (Muddebihal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of acres of land irrigated by waters of the nala at Padeknur in Muddebihal taluk of Bijapur District;

(b) whether they intend to increase the irrigable area in Padeknur and neighbouring villages by construction of a "Bandhara";